

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/076,433	02/19/2002	Michiharu Yamamoto	111996	2303
25944 75	90 08/31/2005		EXAM	INER
OLIFF & BEF	RRIDGE, PLC		IP, SIR	CYIN
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1742	
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/31/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>V</i> D			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/076,433	YAMAMOTO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sikyin Ip	1742			
Period fo	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply</li> </ul>	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
THE - External control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a not be a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt indo will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	5 July 2005.				
2a) <u></u>		This action is non-final.				
3)	<del>-</del>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-2,4-17,19-21,23,25-27 and 29</u> is 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2,5-9,11,13-15,17</u> Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1,4,10,12 and 16</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and and and and and and and and and an	7 <u>,19-21,23,25-27 and 29</u> is/are				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	rection is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachmen		_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

The terminal disclaimer filed on May 2, 2005 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of 09/984,039 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 4, 10, 12, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over USP 4599119 to Ikushima et al in view of Nagarjuna et al or acknowledged prior art admission.

Ikushima disclose(s) the features including the claimed Ti-Cu alloy (col. 1, lines 35-58), grain size (col. 1, lines 60-63), hardness, tensile properties, and bending

Page 3

Art Unit: 1742

properties (Table 4, first 4 samples) except for the claimed electrical conductivity. Ikushima in col. 1, lines 15-18 discloses age-hardened Cu-Ti alloy has excellent electrical conductivity but does not disclose an numerical range of the electrical conductivity. Nagarjuna (abstract) and acknowledged prior art admission ([0008]) disclose electrical conductivity 25% and at least 10% IACS, respectively. Therefore, as shown by Nagarjuna that age-hardened Cu-Ti alloy would have electrical conductivity up to 25%. And electrical conductive materials as disclosed by cited references is required to be at least 10% IACS (See acknowledged prior art admission [0008]).

### Response to Arguments

Applicants' argument as set forth in first full paragraph, page 9 of instant remarks is noted. But, Ti content in instant claim 1 is 2 to 3.5 wt.%.

Applicants' argument in page 9, last paragraph is noted. But, applicants have not substantiated their position by factual evidence in declaration.

### Conclusion

The above rejection relies on the reference(s) for all the teachings expressed in the text(s) of the references and/or one of ordinary skill in the metallurgical art would have reasonably understood or implied from the text(s) of the reference(s). To emphasize certain aspect(s) of the prior art, only specific portion(s) of the text(s) have been pointed out. Each reference as a whole should be reviewed in responding to the rejection, since other sections of the same reference and/or various combination of the cited references may be relied on in future rejection(s) in view of amendment(s).

All recited limitations in the instant claims have been meet by the rejections as set forth above.

Applicant is reminded that when amendment and/or revision is required, applicant should therefore provide a concise explanation and support with page and line

Art Unit: 1742

number in the specification for any amendments made to the disclosure. See 37 C.F.R. Part §41.37 (c)(1)(v).

## **Examiner Correspondence**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Ip whose telephone number is (571) 272-1241. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 5:30 A.M. to 2:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Roy V. King, can be reached on (571)-272-1244.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SIKYIN IP
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1742

S. lp August 23, 2005